UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION

SHAWN WILLIAMS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 1:16-CV-00011-JAR
)	
UNKNOWN STEVENS, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
	ý	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the filing of the joint plaintiffs' pro se complaint. Because the complaint was originally written as a joint complaint and the matter has been split into three separate actions, Plaintiff Williams shall be required to submit his own allegations in a separate, amended complaint. Plaintiff Williams' complaint shall be drafted on a Court-provided form, *see* Local Rule 2.06(A). Moreover, because Plaintiff has neither paid the filing fee nor submitted his own signed motion to proceed in forma pauperis along with a prison account statement, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), the Court will require him to do so within thirty (30) days of the date of this Memorandum and Order.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk shall mail to Plaintiff a copy of the Court's form Prisoner Civil Rights Complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall mail to Plaintiff a copy of the Court's Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis - Prisoner Cases.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint on the Court-

provided form within (30) days of the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall either pay the \$400 filing fee or submit a motion to proceed in forma pauperis within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff submits a motion to proceed in forma pauperis, he must also submit a certified copy of his prison account statement for the six month period immediately preceding the filing of his complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to proceed in forma pauperis brought by Sherman Combs [Doc. #2] shall be **DENIED** as he is no longer a party to this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff fails to comply with this Order, the Court will dismiss this action without prejudice. If the case is dismissed, the dismissal will not constitute a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Dated this 26th day of January, 2016.

JOHN A. ROSS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

John a. Ross